UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,981	07/14/2005	Michel Maillard	02-415-A1	3761	
	7590 09/10/2009 DONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP		EXAM	XAMINER	
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			MAEWALL, SNIGDHA		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Motion of Abandonment 10/517,981 MAILLAR		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Snigdha Maewall	1612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does 	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the exp	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 22 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 25 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 25 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 27 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 37 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 37 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examination (d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		ttempt at a proper reply, t	to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory properties. 	85). s received on (with a Certi	ficate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by	37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requestional Allowability (PTO-37).	•		
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing of Th	ansmission dated), WITICH IS
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the a	assignee of the entire inte	rest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repr	resentative capacity unde	r 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		ause the period for seekin	g court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
The Atttorney Steven J.Sarussi's representative con	nfirmed abandonement.		
	/Gollamudi S Kishore/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1612	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under :	37 CFR 1.181, should be pro	omptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090903